

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27736-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 24.02.2015

Gurnam Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. VPS Mithewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Satnam Singh

Ms. Aruna Sachdeva, Advocate for respondent No.6.

Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.7.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure is for direction to the official respondents for taking appropriate legal action against respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Heard.

From the reply filed on behalf of the respondent-State, it is evident that the petitioner had moved an application on similar

allegations, which was got inquired into through the Station House Officer, P.S. City Sangrur. As per his report dated 27.07.2014, the allegations made in the application were found to be false and the application/complaint was recommended to be filed. The said recommendation was accepted by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, who ordered filing of the matter on 01.08.2014. It is further stated that on receipt of ruqa from Civil Hospital, Sangrur, with regard to admission of Gobind Singh, the son of the petitioner, ASI Satnam Singh, P.S. City Sangrur, visited the hospital and recorded the statement of the injured. He also obtained MLR No.IK/23/2014, which reflected four injuries blunt in nature and were kept for special opinion. From the MLR no cognizable offence was made out. The statement of the injured was reduced into DDR No.61 dated 11.06.2014. The matter was enquired into and it was found that the dispute was with regard to a house. The X-ray report of the concerned doctor was also received wherein all the injuries were stated to be simple in nature. As no cognizable offence was found to be made out, DDR No.43 dated 16.09.2014, was recorded.

In view of the above fact situation, the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is hereby dismissed.

24.02.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE