

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30354 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 03.07.2015

Amarjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Toor, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Deepak Arora and Mr. V.S. Rana, Advocates
for respondent no. 4.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the instant petition is under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondents to implement and honour the orders passed by the Police Complaint Authority Chandigarh in Complaint No. 35/2011 dated 30.09.2011 (Annexure P-6) and Complaint No. 96/2012 dated 12.04.2013 (Annexure P-9), as respondents inspite of the recommendations of the Police Complaint Authority, which has been formed on the directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court, have failed to implement the orders.

Learned APP has filed the affidavit of Amarjeet Singh Cheema, Deputy Inspector General of Police, U.T. Chandigarh and the same be taken on record. As per contents of this affidavit, FIR No. 230 dated 25.06.2015 for offence under Section 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC has been registered against respondent no. 4.

However, FIR No. 234 dated 30.06.2015 for offences under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh, has also been registered against both the petitioners Amarjit Singh and Parminder Kaur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a false FIR against the petitioners has been registered. However, in view of the prayer made in the instant petition, no further indulgence of this Court is required but the petitioners would be at liberty to avail of the appropriate remedy with regard to grievance of FIR registered against them.

Learned counsel for respondent no. 4 submits that even FIR registered against respondent no. 4 is based on the wrong allegations. The above contention is noted to be recorded.

However, from the developments as highlighted in the affidavit, no further indulgence of this Court is required. The instant petition is, thus, disposed of as such.

July 03, 2015
jk

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE