

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M- 27554 of 2015
Date of Decision:-06.11.2015

Anju Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present:- Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and further direction to the respondent No. 2 and 3 to direct respondents No. 4 to 6 not to harass the petitioner and to provide protection to her.

This Court, vide order dated 19.08.2015, has referred the matter to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court for some amicable settlement between the parties.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order 19.08.2015, the parties appeared before the Mediation & Conciliation Center of this Court. As per the report received from the Mediation & Conciliation Centre, a settlement/agreement dated 13.10.2015 has been arrived at between the parties.

As per settlement/agreement dated 13.10.2015 entered into between the parties, apart from the other clauses, relevant clause 6 of the settlement/ agreement, reads as under:-

“6. The following settlement has been arrived at between the Parties hereto:-

a) Party No.1 had filed petition for protection of her life and liberty at the hand of party No.2, 3 and 4, who have been impleaded as respondent No. 4 to 6 in the Criminal Misc. Application.

b) It has been stated by party No.2, 3 and 4 that party No.1 should not have any fear in her mind that she will be harass by them as she is free to live in the way she wanted to stay separately from the family. Party No.1 is satisfied with the statement of party No.2 to 4 and she has further stated that since her minor child is with party No.2 to 4 and she be given liberty to pursue her claim for custody of the child independently, which she will be entitled to pursue as per her right being the mother of the child. Party No. 2 to 4 have stated that they have no objection if her claim is considered for the custody of the child independently from the settlement of this case.”

Learned counsel for the State has filed reply by way of affidavit of Pooja Dabla, H.P.S., Deputy Superintendent of Police, District-Rewari, on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 in Court today, the same is taken on record. He also submits that the complaint submitted by the petitioner has been looked into and the police has found no substance in the same. However, he further submits that in future, in case in the event of filing of any such complaint, by the complainant, the matter would be looked into and necessary action would be taken thereon as warranted by law.

In view of the settlement/agreement dated 13.10.2015 arrived at between the parties before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court and the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of settlement arrived at between them before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

November 06, 2015
Anjal