

126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-27483 of 2015 (O&M)****Date of decision: September 11, 2015**

Nirmal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA**Present:** Mr. Gorakh Nath, Advocate
for the petitioners.**SABINA, J**

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.13 dated 10.03.2015, under Sections 498-A, 506, 323 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Patiala, District Patiala.

Prosecution story, in brief, is that the complainant got married to Sukhwinder Singh-petitioner No.2 about fourteen years prior to the registration of the FIR. Out of the said wedlock, three children were born. The case of the complainant further was that her in-laws family had been forcing her to bring her share out of the property owned by her parents and she had brought a sum of ₹8,75,000/- qua her share from her parental family. Out of the said amount, complainant had kept ₹3,00,000/- with herself and had transferred ₹5,00,000/- in the name of her daughter and her

husband was nominated as nominee qua the said amount. Due to this reason, there used to remain dispute in her family and she used to be given beatings also. Husband and father-in-law of the complainant wanted that she should give ₹3,00,000/- to them and due to this reason, they harassed her and tortured her and gave beatings to her. Petitioners alleged that the complainant was having illicit relations with the husband of her sister-in-law (sister of petitioner No.2). Petitioners had been levelling false allegations against the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the matter has been investigated and the allegations levelled in the FIR were found false by the Deputy Superintendent of Police (Annexure P-8).

In the present case, complainant has levelled serious allegations against the petitioners. Matter is still under investigation and challan is yet to be presented in the Court. It would not be just and expedient to quash the criminal proceedings at the very threshold.

No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

September 11, 2015
mahavir