IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-27418 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 18, 2015

Baljinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepak Nayar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

****

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure for issuing directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 13 on the basis of representation (Annexure P-1).

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he only wants that Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), may be directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) qua respondent No.4 namely SI Dalip Kumar only. He also seeks permission to avail alternate remedy against private respondents as per judgment of ***Sakiri Vasu v. State of U.P. and others, 2008 (1) R.C.R. (Cr.) 392.***

In view of above, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) is directed to look into the allegations alleged in representation (Annexure P-1) qua respondent No.4 namely SI Dalip Singh only and pass appropriate order within two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, as per law.

With aforesaid direction, this petition stands disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail alternate remedies against private respondents as per aforesaid judgment.

August 18, 2015 **(INDERJIT SINGH)**
rajesh.k.khurana **JUDGE**

RAJESH KUMAR
2015.08.18 16:54
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh