

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 2741 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision : 05.02.2015

Arshad and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Raj Kumar Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for regular bail filed in case bearing FIR No. 351 dated 10.09.2013, under Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Badshahpur, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel has relied upon the order dated 15.01.2015 passed in CRM-M No. 30950 of 2014, Shiv Charan v. State of Haryana to contend that co-accused was granted the benefit of regular bail. Learned DAG has very fairly accepted this fact though he has argued that now the

entire prosecution evidence has been led and the case is fixed only for defence witnesses.

Be that as it may, once it is accepted that the similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail, I see no justification to take a different view for the present petitioner.

In the circumstances this petition is allowed in the same terms as in aforementioned CRM-M No. 30950 of 2014.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 05, 2015
sunita