

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 27439 of 2014.
Date of Decision : 26.03.2015.

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. S.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Chopra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J. (Oral)

The present petition was filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents not to harass the petitioner as also his family members.

Upon notice having been issued, a reply by way of affidavit dated 19.01.2015 of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Kartarpur, Jalandhar, has been placed on record. In para 7 of such reply, it has been categorically averred that no complaint or any representation is pending against the petitioner with the answering respondent and as such neither the petitioner nor his family members are required for recording of their statements.

In the light of such categoric stand taken in the reply, no directions are required to be passed in the instant petition. The same is, accordingly, disposed of.

March 26, 2015.

kanchan

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE