

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-27332 of 2015

.....

Date of decision:23.12.2015

Anil Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

v.

Varjeet Yadav and another

.....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kamal Ratti, Advocate for Mr. Shakti Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No.1-complainant.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana for
the respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.254 dated 4.12.2012 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The marriage of the complainant was solemnized with petitioner No.1 Anil Kumar on 24.6.2012 according to Hindu rites and rituals. However, due to temperamental differences between the husband and wife, matrimonial dispute arose and the above said FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Varjeet Yadav against her husband and other family members. Now with the intervention of relatives and respectable persons, the matrimonial dispute has been amicably

compromised between the parties and they have entered into a compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Rewari, has sent her report dated 17.12.2015 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.1 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their matrimonial dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.1 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their matrimonial dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matrimonial dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.254 dated 4.12.2012 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

December 23, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp