

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 27252 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.10.2015

Mangal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amandeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.65, dated 17.07.2015, registered under Sections 406, 498-A and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Women Patiala.

The learned counsel for the parties states that a consensus has been reached between the parties to settle the matter for Rs. 12 lacs.

The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions states that the matter stands resolved and the petitioner is agreed to pay Rs. 12 lacs to the complainant. He has handed over a demand

draft dated 01.10.2015, amounting to Rs. 10 lacs to the learned counsel for the complainant. A copy of the same is taken on record as Mark 'A'. He undertakes to repay the remaining amount of Rs. 2 lacs within one month from today.

The learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions admits the factum of compromise and states that he has no objection in case the present petition is allowed and he further undertakes that he will assist the complainant in resolving the matter by way of filing the quashing petition on the basis of compromise.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 17.08.2015, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

30.10.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE