

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M 27219 of 2015

Date of Decision: August 17, 2015

Sanjiv Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is a tenant of mother of respondents No.4 and 5. Petitioner claims that despite he having obtained an interim injunction order, his articles had been stolen by respondents No.4 and 5. In this context, a report has been submitted by the petitioner to the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar. Counsel for the petitioner submits that though it was marked to the SHO but no action has been taken.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. H.K.Athwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice. Two copies of the petition have been handed over to State Counsel in Court today.

Without expression of any opinion regarding commission of any cognizable offence, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the authenticity of the averments in complaint annexure P-5 dated July 24, 2015 would be got looked into by the SP City. In case any steps preventive or otherwise are required to be taken, necessary action will be taken within a period of one month. However, it is observed that in case the allegations are found to be false, it will be open to the police authorities to file the complaint.

August 17, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE