

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-27211 of 2015
Date of Decision:-20.8.2015**

Virender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.95 dated 14.4.2015, under Sections 363, 366-A and 376-D IPC and under Section 6 of the POSCO Act, registered at Police Station Madlauda, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that perusal of the contents of the FIR makes it clear that the petitioner has not been named as an accused. He further states that Manju daughter of the complainant has made statement on 13.5.2015, which has further been attested by Ms. Monika Kakkar, Advocate, Legal Aid Counsel, Panipat on

14.5.2015. In her statement, Manju has not named the petitioner and the only allegations are against Mahabir son of Chatra.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jagbir Singh submits that Manju daughter of the complainant has made statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and she had specifically named the petitioner along with accused Mahabir.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has controverted the aforesaid fact and states that the petitioner has approached this Court in Criminal Misc. No. M-15872 of 2015 titled 'Priyanka and another vs. State of Haryana and others', on 14.5.2015 and has got protection to life and liberty as he had got married with Priyanka.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 21.5.2015 and no role has been attributed against him neither in the FIR nor in the statement made by Manju, which was duly attested by the Legal Aid Counsel, the petition is **accepted**. The petitioner is admitted on regular bail on furnishing of his bail bonds and surety bonds subject to satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat.

Needless to mention, nothing observed here-in-above would reflect, on the merits of the case, in any manner, as the same has been so recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition for regular bail.

August 20, 2015
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE