

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-27067 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 05.11.2015

Savita Chaudhary

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Crl. Misc. No. 36391 of 2015

This application has been filed for placing on record CD, vide which, the telephonic conversation between complainant/respondent No.2 and petitioner has been recorded.

Application is allowed and CD is taken on record.

Crl. Misc. No. M-27067 of 2015

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of directions issued by this Court on 19.8.2015, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has been released on interim bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from SI Satbir Singh has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that telephonic conversation between the petitioner and complainant in the shape of CD is there, which would clearly show that the petitioner has taken money from the complainant.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that voice of the petitioner has been sent for comparison and report is still awaited.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and the conversation in the CD would be matter of trial and the same cannot be considered at this stage, interim order passed by this Court on 19.8.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 05, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE