

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

270

**Criminal Misc. No.M-27007 of 2015
Date of decision: November 16, 2015**

Neha Rani and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for respondents No.5 to 7.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners as they have solemnized marriage contrary to the wishes of private respondents and are apprehending threat at their instance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 14.08.2015, petitioner No.2, namely, Kuldeep Kumar has deposited an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- by way of fixed deposit in the name of petitioner No.1, namely, Neha Rani.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 31.10.2015, the case was adjourned as private respondents, who are the parents of petitioner No.1, were interested to meet their daughter and the petitioners were asked to be present in the Court on the next date of hearing i.e. today.

Both the petitioners as well as private respondents are present in person. Petitioner No.1, namely, Neha Rani has been allowed to meet her parents. After meeting their daughter, private respondents, who are the parents of petitioner No.1, made a statement that they have no concern with the marriage of the petitioners in any manner, neither any threat was given nor they intend to give any threat in future also.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the statement made by respondents No.5 and 6, namely, Mohan Singh and Binna Devi respectively, who are the parents of petitioner No.1, nothing survives in the present petition and the same has become infructuous.

It has also been brought to the notice of the Court by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are still in protection home and they be released from there. The petitioners are, therefore, released from the protection home.

Ordered accordingly.

November 16, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE