

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-26995 of 2015
Date of Decision: 21.08.2015**

Jasandeep Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Surender Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate
for the injured.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 CrPC is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.103 dated 28.3.2015 under Sections 332, 353, 307, 186 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner who is a student of class 10+2 is in custody since 31.3.2015 in the aforesaid case. During the pendency of trial, the matter has been compromised between the petitioner and the injured

on 17.7.2015. He further submitted that co-accused of the petitioner namely Jagdeep Singh has already been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-19516 of 2015 *Jagdeep Singh v. State of Haryana* vide order dated 27.7.2015.

Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the injured does not dispute the factum of compromise between the petitioner and the injured.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has pointed out that the injured cannot enter into such like compromise without taking the complainant, who is a police official, into confidence.

Considering the fact that the petitioner who is a student is in custody since 31.3.2015 and a compromise has been entered into between the petitioner and the injured, the petitioner deserves the concession of bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/CJM, Ambala.

However, it is made clear that the observations made here-in-above shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of material available before it.

August 21, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE