

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-27076 of 2014
Date of Decision:-31.3.2015**

Sheela Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Ashok Kumar Sama, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Through the instant petition the petitioners have approached this Court seeking direction to the official respondents No.1 to 3 for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 as the petitioners have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7.

In response to the notice issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit of Shri Veer Chand, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, D, Fazilka, District Fazilka on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed. The same is taken on record. Para No.2 of the preliminary submissions of the said reply read as under :-

“2. That it is submitted that the petitioners never

approached the police either before filing the present petition or after filing the present petition for their alleged grievances. However, after receiving a copy of the petition, the police met the petitioners. In their joint statement made by the petitioners before the police, they stated that they are major and they are living as husband and wife happily and the private respondents are now not interfering into their married life and there is no danger to their life and liberty from them and they do not want any police protection. The copy of the joint statement of petitioners is annexed herewith as Annexure R-T.”

The reply clearly indicates that the petitioners never approached the police either before filing the petition or after filing the petition. However, they have made a statement before the police that they are major and residing being husband and wife happily and the private respondents are now not interfering into their married life.

Without commenting upon the validity of the marriage and while taking into consideration the reply filed by the State counsel, no further action is called for in this matter.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

March 31, 2015
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE