

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-26975 of 2015
Date of decision: 27.08.2015

Aabid ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ajay Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Krishan Lal

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of first petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.45, dated 04.03.2015, registered under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code (later on Section 394 and 34 IPC added) at Police Station Panipat, District GRP Ambala Cantt.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is a case of version and cross version. The name of the petitioner has been included in the case on the disclosure statements of co-accused Sajid.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and contends that the petitioner is involved in three other

FIRs. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for bail.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the co-accused Sajid had disclosed the name of the petitioner as his accomplice at the time of commission of offence and the petitioner is involved in three other cases no case is made out for bail.

Dismissed.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

27.08.2015

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE