

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.26948 of 2015
Date of Decision : 14.08.2015**

Surinderpal Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Satinder Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel states that in the memo of parties the name of respondent No.2 has inadvertently been mentioned as Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana whereas it should be Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural Ludhiana.

On the oral request of the learned counsel, she is permitted to make necessary correction in the memo of parties.

By this petition the petitioner is seeking directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to register a case against respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioner has also moved a complaint dated 25.05.2015 (Annexure P-1) to the respondent No.2 and at this stage she would be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide the complaint moved by the petitioner within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter/complaint (Annexure P-1) and take whatever action he deems necessary as per law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

AUGUST 14, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**