

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29649-2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.08.2015

Desh Raj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

- 1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?***

Present: Mr. NS Shekhawat, Advocate
for petitioner Nos.1 and 4.

Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate
for petitioner Nos.2 and 3.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, AAG, Haryana
for the State.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate represents petitioner Nos.1 and 4 and Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate represents petitioner Nos.2 and 3.

In view of the conflict of interest, learned counsel for petitioner Nos.1 and 4 seeks to withdraw the instant petition with

liberty to file fresh petition with better particulars or to challenge the order framing charge.

Learned counsel for petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 submits that these petitioners also do not have objection if the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty as there is conflict of interest between the petitioners and it is stated that these petitioners never authorized petitioner Nos.1 and 4 to file the instant petition on their behalf.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

17.08.2015
sk