

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-2681 of 2015

Date of decision: 02.02.2015

Davinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Sarwala, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.117, dated 16.12.2014, registered under Sections 307, 452, 323, 324, 325, 341, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC, at Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that only simple injuries have been attributed to the petitioners. The injury falls under Section 307 IPC has been added just to enhance the gravity of the offence.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail and submits that specific injuries have been attributed to the petitioners. Moreover when the complainant was being removed to the hospital, the petitioners chased the vehicle and attacked them again, wherein window panes of the vehicle were totally smashed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the key role of the petitioners and the injuries attributed to them, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of bail sought at this stage.

Dismissed.

02.02.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE