

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-26895 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.03.2015

Vikas Kumar Batish

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Ms. Nitika Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

Mr. N.G. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that cancellation report was filed before the Magistrate which is still pending for appearance of the complainant to whom notice is being issued. It is also submitted on instructions from ASI Major Singh that respondent No.3-complainant is the only injured in the incident.

In view of the aforesaid facts, as cancellation report is pending before the Magistrate, the instant petition is disposed of with

direction to learned Magistrate to dispose of the same expeditiously and preferably within a period of two months from 20.04.2015 on which date the parties including complainant-respondent No.3 are directed to appear.

The petitioner, respondent No.3 and Investigating Officer would appear before the Magistrate on 20.4.2015.

It is made clear that learned Magistrate will not be required to issue fresh notice to the complainant for disposal of the final report in view of the directions being given to the complainant to appear before the Magistrate.

**(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge**

30.03.2015
sk