

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29557-2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: November 02, 2015

Shashi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Manish and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms.Vandana Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.
Mr.Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.2.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners states at bar that she has the instructions to submit that the present petition has been rendered infructuous in view of the compromise effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the statement suffered by the learned counsel representing the petitioners.

So ordered.

November 02, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE