

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26653-2015

Date of Decision: October 19, 2015

Sarabjit Singh and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Arvind Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

Mr. Vikas Behl, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the informant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI, Manjit Singh of Police Station, City, SBS Nagar, submits that in compliance of the order dated 12.08.2015, passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and no more required for custodial interrogation. However, he submits that nothing has been recovered from the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the informant has also not controverted the fact that the petitioners did join the investigation.

In view of the above, present petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court, vide order dated 12.08.2015, are made absolute. The petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

It is made clear that in the event, the petitioners are found indulging in malpractice to win over the witnesses or influence the investigating agency, then the prosecution would be free to move an application for withdrawal of the present concession.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

October 19, 2015
apurva