

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26635 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 12.8.2015

Sahil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The allegations against the petitioner is that he being the employee of the firm, received amount against booking of the flats.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he acted on behalf of the company and he used to assist the Managing Director. As per the FIR, the Company through the petitioner has accepted the entire payment from the prospective buyers of the flats alleged to be raised by the Company wherein the petitioner had been working. Despite the full payment, possession so far could not be delivered. There are as many as 22 similar FIRs against the Company.

Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is not named in the remaining 22 FIRs, that is a matter of trial.

Keeping in view the magnitude of the offence, the amount

of ₹10 lacs approximately was received against each flat and in view the stakes involved and the fact that the whole operation was carried out with the assistance of the petitioner, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

12.8.2015
Meenu

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE