

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.26550 of 2015

Date of decision: 21st December, 2015

Ved Parkash Aggarwal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. H.S. Sangha, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 10.09.2015 of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Pehowa has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Neha Aggarwal and the accused persons namely Ved Parkash Aggarwal, Shakuntala Aggarwal and Vikas Aggarwal, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise Ex.P1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure matrimonial dispute together with the fact that compromise will go

a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.53 dated 14.05.2012 registered at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra under Sections 406/498-A/506/323 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 21, 2015
rps