

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.26473-2015
Date of decision : 13.10.2015**

Karamjeet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.A.K.Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr.J.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.109 dated 08.05.2015 registered under Sections 419/420/494/177 IPC at Police Station City-1, Abohar, District Fazilka.

The allegations against the petitioner are as follows :-

1. She has falsely filed case of domestic violence against the complainant even though she is not his wife. 2. She has posed as the wife of one Jaswant Singh, even though she was never

married to him to grab the compensation for his death in a road accident and is taking widow pension also on that account and;

3. She has allured the complainant into parting away with huge amount of cash.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner is willing to join the investigation and would be liable to face whatever punishment is imposed upon her after trial but it is not a case where the custodial interrogation is required.

Keeping in view the self confessed predilections of the complainant himself learned counsel for the complainant and learned Additional Advocate General, on instructions from ASI Partap Singh are not in a position to deny the factual assertions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed.

In the event of arrest of the petitioner, she shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

October 13, 2015

Pooja sharma-I