

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**Criminal Misc. No.M-26408 of 2015
Date of decision: October 13, 2015**

Ram Chander

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. J. S. Cooner, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.274 dated 13.07.2014 registered under Sections 148, 149, 341, 302 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Sonapat, District Sonapat during the pendency of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2014, no specific role has been attributed to him and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner as the petitioner was armed with jelly at the time of incident. Learned State counsel also submits that only seven witnesses have been examined and the date fixed before the trial Court is 28.10.2015.

Heard arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and have also perused the allegations made in the FIR.

The regular bail has been sought only on the ground that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner and he is in custody since 14.07.2014. The FIR was registered under Sections 148, 149, 341, 302 IPC whereas the charges were framed under Sections 302 IPC read with Section 34 IPC and the petitioner was present at the place of occurrence armed with jelly.

Keeping in view the stage of the trial and seriousness of offence, no ground is made out to grant regular bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Dismissed.

October 13, 2015

sonia g.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**