

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26407-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 18.08.2015

Lovepreet Singh @ Peeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Harish Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Harjit Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.), the petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.13 dated 10.02.2015, registered under Sections 307, 353, 186, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Sekhwan, Distt. Batala (Gurdaspur).

The learned counsel contends that the petitioner is neither named in the FIR, nor any role has been attributed to him. The similarly situated co-accused, Manpreet Singh, has been allowed bail

by this Court vide order dated 13.07.2015.

On the other hand, the learned state counsel, on instructions, submits that the case of the petitioner is not at par with co-accused, Manpreet Singh as no recovery was effected from the latter. The presence of the petitioner at the place on occurrence is made out from the case and recovery of .315 bore pistol has been effected from him. She further stated that the petitioner actively participated in the crime.

Heard.

The petitioner's name has surfaced in the disclosure statement made by co-accused, Surjan Singh and in pursuance thereof, recovery of the weapon was effected from him. The complainant has not been examined so far. The petitioner, in all probability, can influence the course of the trial. Therefore, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

18.08.2015
atulsehi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE