

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M No.2637 of 2015

Date of Decision:30.01.2015

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR.

**Present: Mr.Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr.Jaspreet Singh Sekhon, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent-State.**

MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR, J.(oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition for the grant of concession of regular bail, in a case registered against him, vide FIR No.193 dated 06.10.2014, on accusation of having committed the offences punishable under Sections 199, 200, 417 and 420 IPC, by the police of Police Station City Rupnagar.

2. Notice of the petition was issued to the State.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, going through the record with their valuable assistance and after considering the entire matter deeply, to my mind, the present petition for regular bail deserves to be accepted in this context.

4. Precisely, the prosecution, *inter alia*, claimed that the petitioner has filed a false affidavit in the court with regard to furnishing of surety bonds in other cases. No other specific role or particular part is

attributed to him in the FIR. Moreover, the petitioner was arrested in this case on 06.10.2014. Since then he is in judicial custody and no useful purpose would be served to further detain him in jail. There is no history of his previous involvement in any other criminal case. Since, not even a single witness has yet been examined by the prosecution, so, the conclusion of trial will naturally take a long time.

5. In the light of aforesaid reasons, taking into consideration the peculiar facts & the special circumstances, emanating from the record, as discussed here-in-above and without commenting further anything on merits, lest it may prejudice the case of either side during the course of trial of the main case, the instant petition for regular bail is hereby accepted. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his filing a specific affidavit to the effect that he will not furnish any surety bonds against the accused in any court in future and on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Needless to mention that, nothing observed here-in-above, would reflect, on merits in the trial of the main case, in any manner, as the same has been so recorded for a limited purpose of deciding the present petition for regular bail.

January 30, 2015
seema

(MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR)
JUDGE