

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-26309 of 2015  
Date of Decision: 17.08.2015**

Anil

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl.A.G., Haryana.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)**

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 CrPC is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.368 dated 11.10.2013 under Sections 364-A, 342, 120-B, 202, 171, 216 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the case in hand, prosecution has examined as many as 12 witnesses and none of the prosecution witnesses has deposed against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 13.10.2013 and there is

no other case against him. He further submitted that neither the complainant nor his son has identified the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also relies upon order dated 10.7.2015 passed in CRM No.M-21259 of 2015 *Virender v. State of Haryana*, wherein co-accused of the petitioner was granted regular bail by this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balkar Singh, submits that though the complainant and his son have been examined as prosecution witnesses but they have been declared hostile.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 13.10.2013 and the complainant and his son have not supported the prosecution version and rather they have been declared hostile, coupled with the fact that still eight more witnesses are required to be examined and thus, trial will take sufficient long time, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner namely Anil is ordered to be admitted to regular bail, subject to his furnishing of bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, it is made clear that the observations made here-in-above shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of material available before it.

August 17, 2015

**AK**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )  
JUDGE**