

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26354-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 8, 2015

Parveen Dhiman

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 has pointed out that charge for the offence punishable under Section 420, IPC, was framed on 28.1.2015 and the said order has not been challenged by the petitioner.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to challenge the said order by filing criminal revision petition

before the Court of Session at the first instance.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection to the above prayer.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with permission to the petitioner to file criminal revision petition before the Court of Session at the first instance.

It is directed that in the event the petitioner prefers criminal revision petition within three weeks of passing of this order alongwith an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, for condonation of delay in filing criminal revision petition, the application shall be considered sympathetically in view of the fact that the petitioner has approached this Court by way of present petition.

October 8, 2015
P Kapoor

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE