

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-26192-2015
Date of decision: 12.8.2015

Mukesh Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail in a case registered against the petitioner under Sections 465, 468, 471 IPC at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, vide FIR No.101 dated 9.6.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged offences and he has been implicated falsely in the present case. Thus, petitioner deserves to be granted concession of pre-arrest bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

FIR was lodged on the statement of Tarandeep Kaur. She alleged that petitioner was a partner with her in Fusion Infra Build India Limited. He purchased a stamp paper in the name of complainant with a malafide intention and prepared an affidavit in her name by forging her

signatures. He got the same attested from Vijay Bhushan Mehta, Advocate, Notary Public. Said affidavit was regarding dissolving of their firm and also contained a stipulation that complainant would be responsible for the previous and future transactions of the firm. During investigation, Vijay Bhushan Mehta, Advocate was joined in the investigation, who denied having attested the said affidavit.

In view of above, I am of the considered view that petitioner is not entitled to concession of pre-arrest bail. His custodial interrogation is necessary for taking the interrogation to its logical end.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

12.8.2015
'Rajpal'