

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R.M-M No.30086 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 27.11.2015

Sartaj Singh Randhawa and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.66 dated 10.06.2012, registered under Sections 307, 392, 325, 326, 447, 511, 148, 149 IPC, at Police Station Sadar Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the compromise has been worked out between the parties though some of the modalities has not yet been finalized.

Learned counsel for the complainant has very fairly stated that to show his bonafide he has instructions not to oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned Additional Advocate General has stated that in this view of the matter the State has also no objection to the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In these circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Resultantly, the petition is allowed. The interim order dated 30.10.2012 is made absolute.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 27, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**