

SUNITA RANI VS STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR.

Present : Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Mamrat, Advocate
for Mr. R.S. Khosla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the petition the respondent No.2 has floated the policy of compounding as per which the petitioner has paid the compounding charges and now the construction made has also been regularized. He further states that due to the aforesaid reasons the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be dismissed as such.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 has accepted these assertions.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

November 16, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE