

CRM-M-26051-2015 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26051-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 21, 2015

Vichattar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Pawan Gaur, DAG, Haryana.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel contends that in compliance of the directions issued vide order dated 07.08.2015, the petitioners did appear before the Court below and were granted ad-interim bail vide order dated 02.09.2015. In support of his contention, he has produced the certified copy of the order, dated 02.09.2015, passed by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonapat, and a photostat copy of the statement suffered by the

complainant, Sujata, before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kharkhoda, which are taken on record. He, however, submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the main case in which the petitioners have been granted ad-interim bail has been withdrawn by the complainant-Sujata.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the above statement of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Ordered accordingly.

September 21, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE