

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.26039 of 2015

Date of decision: 28th October, 2015

Tarseem Goel and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 10.09.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Faridabad has been received whereby after recording statements of complainants Vijay Chopra and Ajay Chopra and the accused persons namely Tarseek Goel, Amit Goyal and Meenu Goyal, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise Ex.C1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property and loan dispute, the fact that the offences for which the

accused have been hauled up are not of heinous nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.75 dated 21.02.2015 registered at Police Station SGM Nagar, Faridabad under Sections 408/420/468/467/471/120B IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the present petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 28, 2015

rps