

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-25949 of 2015
Date of decision : 17.08.2015**

Yogesh Mehta

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, DAG Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 214 dated 07.04.2015, registered under Sections 22(b) and 27-A of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, Police Station City Fatehabad.

As per allegations, a raid was conducted at the premises of Delhi Haryana Cargo Movers, Fatehabad and on checking, 1,12,800 tablets of Alprozolam were recovered. No license or bill was produced for the transportation of the drug which falls within the definition of psychotropic substances.

Learned counsel submits that the name of the petitioner is not in the FIR nor any role has been assigned to him. He further submits that the petitioner has been implicated on the disclosure statement of a co-accused, which is not admissible. He further submits that co-accused have already been granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that during investigation it was revealed that part consignment was for the petitioner. He submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

Co-accused Chander Parkash was arrested, who revealed the name of Ravi Goyal. Ravi Goyal during interrogation named Johny and the name of petitioner was revealed by Johny as the person to whom the drugs were to be supplied. Call details have been found between Johny and the present petitioner about sharing the drugs. The co-accused have been granted regular bail after their interrogation was over. Keeping in view the gravity of the offence and the fact that custodial interrogation is necessary, no case for anticipatory bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

August 17, 2015
Jiten

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE