

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25942 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 02, 2015

Karnail Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S. Bedi, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Simar P.S. Barnala, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Brar, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Mr. Narpal Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of the complainant.

The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that in consequence of interim order dated 7.8.2015 the petitioners have since joined the investigation and that nothing is to be recovered from them though has not been opposed on behalf of the State and learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Bant Singh accepted that the petitioners have joined the investigations but the same is sought to be opposed by learned counsel for the complainant who has cited judgments in **Sumit Mehta vs. State of N.C.T of Delhi RCR 2013 (4) 416; Singashan Singh vs. State of Bihar and others RCR 2015 (1) 786; Maruti Nivrutti Navale vs. State of Maharashtra and another RCR 2013 (3) 235; Andri Dharam Das vs. State of West Bengal RCR 2005(2) 32 SC; S.K. Marwaha vs. Union Territory Chandigarh and another RCR 1985(2) 459 (P&H); R.K. Ranga vs.**

State of Haryana RCR 1997 (2) 611 (P&H); Vishwanath vs. State of Punjab RCR 1998(4) 624 (P&H); Hardev Singh vs. State of Haryana RCR 2005(1) 262 (P&H) and **Haripal Singh vs. State of Punjab RCR 2011(5) 224 (P&H).** However, having regard to the fact that the petitioners have since joined the investigations and all the documents are public records and that nothing is to be recovered and since keeping the petitioners in custody would not serve any interest of justice impels this Court to make the order of interim bail dated 7.8.2015 absolute on the same terms and conditions.

Petition stands disposed off.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 02, 2015
aarti