

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25853 of 2015

Date of decision: 20th November, 2015

Sunil Sharma and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Y.D. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Brar, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

None for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 16.09.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Mohali has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Sonika and the accused persons namely Sunil Sharma, Sita Ram Sharma and Sumitra Devi, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure matrimonial dispute, the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.88 dated 25.08.2013 registered at Police Station Phase VIII, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) under Sections 406/498A IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 20, 2015
rps