

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-25826 of 2015
Date of decision : 18.09.2015**

Sonu @ Jagdeep

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurdas Singh Salwara, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 1033 dated 18.07.2015, registered under Sections 148, 149 323, 307, IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations Pawan had fired a shot but that did not hit anyone and thereafter, the petitioner had taken the fire arm and is stated to have fired another shot but the police did not find that two shots were fired and even in the disclosure made by Pawan, he refers to only one shot. The counsel urges that challan has been filed.

The State counsel states that the case before the trial Court is fixed for today for framing of charge today. It is also stated that allegations under Section 307 IPC are against Pawan.

The complainant side also appears and states that compromise has been effected.

The correctness of the compromise is not being considered but considering the role and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

18.09.2015
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE