

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-25642 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.08.2015

Riya Chaudhary & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for the State.

Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.4 and 5.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are stated to be present in person.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 has filed affidavits of respondents No.4 and 5 and submits that they have agreed the matrimonial alliance and have not extended threats to the life and liberty of petitioners. Respondents No.4 and 5 are also stated to be present in person.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of as

infructuous.

If there is violation of the provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, necessary steps be taken in accordance with law, if permissible.

24.08.2015
sk

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge