

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 25601 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 11, 2015

Mandeep Singh,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. A.G Punjab

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.94, dated 11.6.2015, registered under Sections 406, 420 of the IPC, at Police Station Morinda, District Rupnagar.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that in the original complaint (Annexure P-1) made by the complainant, it had been alleged that money had been taken by the complainant from one Palvi and in that complaint the petitioner had been cited as a witness. As per him, thereafter the police asked the complainant to give the address of Palvi and by document, Annexure P-2, the complainant mentioned that he did not know the address of Palvi. It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that

once the complainant could not lay his hands on Palvi, he has now implicated the petitioner.

Counsel for the respondent has accepted that the complainant had made original complaint (Annexure P-1).

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

August 11, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE