

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-25552 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 14.09.2015

Sarabjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. A.S. Syan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of directions issued by this Court on 4.8.2015, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Manjit Singh has affirmed the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner but opposes the anticipatory bail.

In view of the above and the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation; nothing is to be recovered from her; the allegations against her will be seen during trial as the same cannot be considered at this stage and the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not even present at the time of alleged occurrence, interim order dated 4.8.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 14, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE