

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25550 of 2015

Date of decision : August 04, 2015

Sandeep Mehta

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Tanveer Ahmed Mir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Surinder Gupta, J

Heard.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the court, Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana, who is present in the court accepts notice and submits that intimation by Registry informing of fixation of the petition has already been received and record of the case is available with him.

The FIR No. 620 dated 19.5.2015 was registered at P.S. Gurgaon City, on the statement of Navdeep Mehta son of Jagdish Lal Mehta, who committed suicide in the premises of Women Cell of Police Station Gurgaon City, Gurgaon.

As per complainant (since deceased), his wife, mother-in-law, husband of sister of his wife, brother of his wife and one more person Pinky, who was posted in the office of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon were compelling him to pay ₹7 lacs, vehicle and gold to his wife. He was also harassed by his wife and her uncle Bunty.

The dying declaration of complainant-Navdeep Mehta was also recorded by the Executive Magistrate, Delhi wherein also he levelled allegations against his wife and relations of his wife.

The petitioner has sought following relief in this petition :-

- i). *The matter be handed over to Central Bureau of Investigation.*
- ii) *Report be called from Central Bureau of Investigation*

and necessary orders be passed on that report.

- iii) *Direction be issued to Haryana Government to take appropriate action against the police officers of the State of Haryana culpable for death of Navdeep Mehta.*

After hearing arguments of learned counsel for petitioner at length, I do not find any reason for entrustment of investigation of the case to Central Bureau of Investigation. The FIR has been registered on 19.5.2015 on the statement of the deceased. His dying declaration was also recorded and the matter is still under investigation. The grouse of learned counsel for petitioner is that one Gurvinder Kaur @ Pinky had played active role in pressurizing the deceased and the said Gurvinder Kaur @ Pinky is posted in the office of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon.

Even if the allegations have been levelled against one official posted in the office of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon, the same can be duly investigated by the Investigating Agency of the police. It cannot be said that every official posted in the police department is so influential that he will not allow the investigation to proceed further.

In view of the above, the request for entrustment of this case to CBI is declined.

The petition is disposed of with direction to Commissioner of Police, Gurgaon to look into the prayer made in the representation, copy of which is placed on record as Annexure P/9, on receipt of copy of this order.

(Surinder Gupta)
Judge

August 04, 2015
deepak