

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

VIJAY ASIJA
07/05/2015 10:00
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

**Criminal Misc. No. M-2555 of 2015
Date of Decision:-07.5.2015**

Kamaldeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

The office report shows that because of not filing of the process fee, notices could not be issued to the respondents. No one is present on behalf of petitioners. It shows that the petitioners do not want to pursue the present petition. However, learned State counsel on instructions from HC Harpal Singh submits that petitioner No.1- Kamaldeep Kaur has made a statement before the police that she does not require any protection as prayed in the petition.

On the basis of the aforesaid fact as submitted by the State counsel, no further action is required to be taken in this petition.

The petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

May 07, 2015
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE