

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25542-2015 (O & M)
Date of decision:31.08.2015

Paramjit Kaur ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Rajvir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail to petitioner in a case registered against her vide FIR No.148 dated 27.09.2014 under Sections 420, 406, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Meherban, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that there is no complicity of the petitioner in the crime. She deserves concession of pre-arrest bail.

Prayer has been opposed by learned State counsel. According to her, the petitioner earlier approached this court by way of CRM-M-17006-2015 wherein statement was made that ₹ 5 lacs shall be deposited before the investigating officer. The petition was later withdrawn. According to learned State counsel, from the allegations, complicity of the petitioner in the crime is proved.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. On the basis of complaint, FIR No. 148 dated 27.09.2014 was lodged. The complainant has alleged that he has consulted a known person as he

wanted to go abroad. He introduced him to Sarabjit Singh. He demanded ₹ 5 lacs for sending the complainant abroad. Allegedly, amount of ₹ 5 lacs was handed over to husband of the petitioner in the month of April, 2013. A visa was handed over by accused. The visa was found to be fake. Petitioner also issued two cheques i.e. one of ₹ 2,92,000/- and another for ₹ 1,40,000/-. On presentation, both the cheques bounced.

Keeping in view the nature of allegations, I am of the considered view that petitioner is not entitled to concession of pre-arrest bail.

Dismissed.

31.08.2015

sukhpreet

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**