

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25533 of 2015
Date of Decision : 10.09.2015

Seema and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. Ajay Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balwan Singh submits that statement of petitioner no. 1 was also recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. and she has expressed her desire to stay with petitioner no. 2 and there is no threat perception to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are neighbourer and there is threat perception from the family members.

The petitioners have already made representation (Annexure P-4) to Superintendent of Police, Panipat.

No further indulgence of this Court is required in the matter and the instant petition is disposed of as such.

Respondent no. 2-Superintendent of Police, Panipat is directed to look into the threat perception and take appropriate steps, if required, in accordance with law.

September 10, 2015
jk

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE