

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2538 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 18, 2015

Ankit Dhingra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Brar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.18 dated 14.11.2014 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women, Amritsar.

The allegations against the petitioner-husband in this anticipatory bail application are that marriage between the petitioner-Ankit Dhingra and complainant Ashima took place on 21.11.2013 and it is on account of matrimonial dispute the wife has got registered the present case on the allegations of usurpation of dowry articles as well as of cruelty and harassment. The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has returned the dowry articles and has placed on

record the memo of the articles taken into police possession are not controverted on behalf of the State. Though, ferment arguments sought to be made by learned counsel for the complainant opposing the grant of bail.

Keeping in view that since the dowry articles are stand returned and received by the complainant and not much is left which requires custodial interrogation of the petitioner, the present petition seeking anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of arrest of the petitioner he be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer and that he shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 18, 2015
aarti