

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-25453 of 2014 (O&M)

.....

Date of decision:4.2.2015

Mehar Chand and others

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. Rajbir Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Singh Pannu, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana for the respondent-State.

None for complainant-respondent No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of case FIR No.72 dated 10.4.2014 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 420, 468, 471, 120-B and 34 IPC at Police Station City Gohana, District Sonapat and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Kapoor Singh on the allegations that the accused-petitioners by hatching a criminal conspiracy have cheated him. Now with intervention of respectable persons and elders, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a

compromise, they were directed to appear before learned trial Court for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Gohana, has sent her report dated 17.12.2014 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543,

Cr. Misc. No.M-25453 of 2014 (O&M)
[3]

this petition is allowed and FIR No.72 dated 10.4.2014 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 420, 468, 471, 120-B and 34 IPC at Police Station City Gohana, District Sonapat and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

February 4, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp