

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25350 of 2015

Date of decision: 19th October, 2015

Jasvir Singh @ Jasvir Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurveer Sidhu, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. A.K. Sama, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 31.08.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Fazilka has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Jogender Singh @ Jagan Nath, injured Kartar Singh, Puran Singh @ Punnu as well as the accused persons namely Jasvir Singh @ Jasvir Kumar, Satnam Dass, Udey Pal, Banwari Lal, Parmeswari Devi and Surja Ram, the Court has shown its satisfaction

that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a case of version and cross-version, the fact that parties belong to the same very village and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of cross-case dated 01.06.2012 under Sections 324/323/148/149 IPC in case FIR No.80 dated 01.06.2012 registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka under Sections 452/324/323/148/149 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said cross-case qua the present petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 19, 2015
rps