

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No.2365 of 2001 (O&M)
Date of decision:20.11.2015

Hakkmuddin @ Hakam @ Mehboob Appellant

Versus

Feroz Khan and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that despite his best efforts, he has not been able to find out the name of Insurance Company which has been held liable to pay the compensation jointly and severally with the owner of the vehicle. In the memo of parties, in the claim petition filed before the MACT, Gurgaon, the Company was impleaded by mentioning "Insurance Company, if any, of Jeep No.RJ-02-C-0609". He further submitted that even the appellant is not responding to his communications.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted that even respondent No.1 is also not responding to his communications.

In the aforesaid factual matrix of the case, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellant to get the same revived in case name of the Insurance Company is ascertained.

20.11.2015
renu

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE